arXiv:math/0702525v1 [math.AG] 18 Feb 2007

A conic bundle degenerating on the Kummer surface

MICHELE BOLOGNESI

Abstract

Let C be a genus 2 curve and $\mathcal{SU}_C(2)$ the moduli space of semi-stable rank 2 vector bundles on C with trivial determinant. In [Bol07] we described the parameter space of non stable extension classes (invariant with respect to the hyperelliptic involution) of the canonical sheaf ω of C with ω_C^{-1}. In this paper we study the classifying rational map $\varphi : \mathbb{P}Ext^1(\omega, \omega^{-1}) \cong \mathbb{P}^4 \dashrightarrow \mathcal{SU}_C(2) \cong \mathbb{P}^3$ that sends an extension class on the corresponding rank two vector bundle. Moreover we prove that, if we blow up $\mathbb{P}^4$ along a certain cubic surface S and $\mathcal{SU}_C(2)$ at the point p corresponding to the bundle $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}$, then the induced morphism $\tilde{\varphi} : Bl_S \to Bl_p\mathcal{SU}_C(2)$ defines a conic bundle that degenerates on the blow up (at p) of the Kummer surface naturally contained in $\mathcal{SU}_C(2)$. Furthermore we construct the $\mathbb{P}^2$-bundle that contains the conic bundle and we discuss the stability and deformations of one of its components.

Introduction

Let C be a smooth genus 2 curve. Let $Pic^1(C)$ be the Picard variety that parametrizes all degree 1 line bundles on C and Θ the canonical theta divisor made up set-theoretically of line bundles L s.t. $h^0(C, L) \neq 0$. We will denote $\mathcal{SU}_C(2)$ the moduli space of semi-stable rank 2 vector bundles on C with trivial determinant. The description of this moduli space dates back almost fourty years ago to the paper [NR69]. Ramanan and Narashiman proved that $\mathcal{SU}_C(2)$ is isomorphic to the linear system $|2\Theta| \cong \mathbb{P}^3$ on $Pic^1(C)$ and that the semi-stable locus is exactly the Kummer quartic surface image of the Jacobian $Jac(C)$ via the Kummer map. In this paper we look at $\mathcal{SU}_C(2)$ in a different frame. Let ω be the canonical bundle on C, we consider the space $\mathbb{P}Ext^1(\omega, \omega^{-1}) =: \mathbb{P}^4_\omega = |\omega^3|^*$. This space parametrizes extension classes (e) of ω by ω^{-1}.

$$0 \longrightarrow \omega \longrightarrow E_e \longrightarrow \omega^{-1} \longrightarrow 0 \qquad (e)$$

Therefore there exists a classifying map

$$\varphi : \mathbb{P}^4_\omega \dashrightarrow \mathcal{SU}_C(2)$$

that associates the vector bundle E_e to the extension class (e). Bertram showed in [Ber92] that φ is given by the quadrics in the ideal $\mathcal{I}_C(2)$ of the curve, that is naturally embedded as a sextic in $\mathbb{P}^4_\omega$. In the first part of the paper we describe the fibers of the map φ. Let $E \in \mathcal{SU}_C(2)$ and C_E the closure $\overline{\varphi^{-1}(E)}$ of the fiber of E, then the principal results of section 1 are the following.

Theorem 0.0.1 *Let E be a stable vector bundle, then $dim C_E = 1$ and C_E is a smooth conic.*

Theorem 0.0.2 *Let E be a strictly semi-stable vector bundle, then C_E is singular. If $E \cong L \oplus L^{-1}$ for $L \in JC[2]/\mathcal{O}_C$ then C_E is a double line.*

Moreover we show that the fiber over the S-equivalence class of the bundle $\mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_C$ is a cone $S \in \mathbb{P}^4_\omega$ over a twisted cubic curve. In Section 2 we blow up $\mathbb{P}^4_\omega$ along the surface S and $|2\Theta|$ at the origin of the Kummer surface K^0 that represents the semi-stable boundary. Let $Bl_S(\mathbb{P}^4_\omega)$ be the blow up of $\mathbb{P}^4_\omega$ and $\mathbb{P}^3_0$ the blow-up of $|2\Theta|$, we describe the induced map $\tilde{\varphi} : Bl_S(\mathbb{P}^4) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3_\mathcal{O}$ and we prove that the restriction of $\tilde{\varphi}$ to the exceptional divisors is a conic bundle. The main theorem of Section 2 is in fact the following.

Theorem 0.0.3 *The morphism*

$$\tilde{\varphi} : Bl_S(\mathbb{P}^4) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3_\mathcal{O}$$

is a conic bundle whose discriminant locus is the blow-up at the origin of the Kummer surface K^0.

Moreover we construct a rank 2 vector bundle $\mathcal{A}$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$, such that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}) \cong Bl_S(\mathbb{P}^4)$. This leads us to prove that our conic bundle can be seen as a section

$$\tilde{\varphi} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(-1), Sym^2(\mathcal{A}^* \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(-1)).$$

This vector space has dimension 16 thus we have a moduli map that associates to a smooth genus 2 curve the conic bundle induced by its classifying map.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Xi : \{\text{smooth genus 2 curves}\} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^{15} = \mathbb{P}B; \\ C & \mapsto & \tilde{\varphi}_C. \end{array}$$

In Section 3 we study the stability and the deformations of the bundle $\mathcal{A}$. By applying the Hoppe criterion (Prop. 3.1.3), we get the following Theorem.

Theorem 0.0.4 *The vector bundle $\mathcal{A}$ on $\mathbb{P}^2_\omega$ is stable.*

Finally, via a few cohomology calculations we find the dimension of $Ext^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$.

Theorem 0.0.5 *The space of deformations of $\mathcal{A}$ has dimension $dim(Ext^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})) = 5$.*

Acknowledgments: I would like to thank my Phd advisor Christian Pauly for his suggestions, Chiara Brambilla for a useful advice and Yves Laszlo for posing the problem of the deformations of the vector bundle $\mathcal{A}$.

1 The classifying map

1.1 Preliminaries on extension classes

Let C be a smooth genus 2 curve and λ the hyperelliptic involution on C; we will denote $\mathcal{W}$ the set of the Weierstrass points of C. Let also $Pic^d(C)$ be the Picard variety parametrizing degree d line bundles over C and $Jac(C) = Pic^0(C)$ the Jacobian variety of C. We will denote K^0 the Kummer surface obtained as quotient of $Jac(C)$ by $\pm Id$ and K^1 the quotient of $Pic^1(C)$ by the involution $\tau : \xi \mapsto \omega \otimes \xi^{-1}$. Moreover we remark that the 16 theta characteristics are the fixed points of the involution τ. Let $\Theta \subset Pic^1(C)$ be the Riemann theta divisor. It is isomorphic to the curve C via the Abel-Jacobi embedding

$$\begin{aligned} Aj : C &\hookrightarrow Pic^1(C), \\ p &\mapsto \mathcal{O}_C(p). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Let $\mathcal{SU}_C(2)$ be the moduli space of semi-stable rank two vector bundles on C with trivial determinant. It is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^3 \cong |2\Theta|$, the isomorphism being given by the map [Bea88]

$$\begin{aligned} \theta : \mathcal{SU}_C(2) &\longrightarrow |2\Theta|, \\ E &\mapsto \theta(E); \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where

$$\theta(E) := \{L \in Pic^1(C) | h^0(C, E \otimes L) \neq 0\}.$$

With its natural scheme structure, $\theta(E)$ is in fact linearly equivalent to 2Θ. The Kummer surface K^0 is embedded in $|2\Theta|$ and points in K^0 correspond to bundles E whose S-equivalence class $[E]$ contains a decomposable bundle of the form $M \oplus M^{-1}$, for $M \in Jac(C)$. Furthermore on the semistable boundary the morphism θ restricts to the Kummer map.

Let ω be the canonical line bundle on C. We introduce the 4-dimensional projective space

$$\mathbb{P}^4_\omega := \mathbb{P}Ext^1(\omega, \omega^{-1}) = |\omega^3|^*.$$

A point $e \in \mathbb{P}^4_\omega$ corresponds to an isomorphism class of extensions

$$0 \longrightarrow \omega^{-1} \longrightarrow E_e \longrightarrow \omega \longrightarrow 0. \qquad (e)$$

We denote by φ the rational classifying map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \mathbb{P}^4_\omega &\dashrightarrow |2\Theta| \\ e &\mapsto \text{S-equivalence class of } E_e. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{I}_C$ be the ideal sheaf of the curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^4_\omega$, Bertram ([Ber92], Theorem 2) showed that there is an isomorphism (induced via pull-back by φ)

$$H^0(\mathcal{SU}_C(2), \mathcal{O}(2\Theta)) \cong H^0(\mathbb{P}^4_\omega, \mathcal{I}_C \otimes \mathcal{O}(2)).$$

Therefore the classifying map φ is the rational map given by the full linear system of quadrics contained in the ideal of $C \subset \mathbb{P}^4_\omega$. In fact the locus of non semi-stable extensions is exactly represented by C, as the next lemma shows.

Lemma 1.1.1 *[Ber92] Let (e) be an extension class in $\mathbb{P}^4_\omega$ and $Sec(C)$ the secant variety of $C \subset \mathbb{P}^4_\omega$, then the vector bundle E_e is not semistable if and only if $e \in C$ and it is not stable if and only if $e \in Sec(C)$.*

Remark 1.1.2 *One can say even more. In fact, given $x, y \in C$ the secant line $\overline{xy}$ is the fiber of φ over the S-equivalence class of $\omega(-x-y) \oplus \omega^{-1}(x+y)$.*

This implies directly the following Corollary.

Corollary 1.1.3 *The image of the secant variety Sec(C) by the classifying map φ is the Kummer surface $K^0 \subset |2\Theta|$.*

The hyperelliptic involution λ acts on the canonical line bundle over C and on its spaces of sections. A straightforward Riemann-Roch computation shows that $h^0(C, \omega^3)^* = 5$. Let $\pi : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the hyperelliptic map. There is a canonical linearization for the action of λ on ω that comes from the fact that $\omega = \pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$. In fact, by Kempf's Theorem ([DN89], Théorème 2.3), a line bundle on C descends to $\mathbb{P}^1$ if and only if the involution acts trivially on the fibers over Weierstrass points. Thus we choose the linearization $\delta : \lambda^*\omega \xrightarrow{\sim} \omega$ that induces the identity on the fibers over Weierstrass points. This means that

$$Tr(\lambda : L_{w_i} \to L_{w_i}) = 1,$$

for every Weierstrass point w_i. Moreover we have that $d\lambda_{w_i} = -1$, which implies, via the Atiyah-Bott-Lefschetz fixed point formula ([GH78], p.421), that

$$h^0(C, \omega^3)_+ - h^0(C, \omega^3)_- = 3.$$

Since $h^0(C, \omega^3)_+ + h^0(C, \omega^3)_- = 5$, this means that $h^0(C, \omega^3)_+ = 4$ and $h^0(C, \omega^3)_- = 1$ and we can see that

$$H^0(C, \omega^3)_- = \sum_{i=1}^{6} w_i.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$E_{\lambda(e)} = \lambda^* E_e$$

thus the points of $\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+} := \mathbb{P}H^0(C, \omega^3)^*_+$ represent involution invariant extension classes. We have studied this classes in [Bol07]; in this paper our aim is to describe more precisely the classifying map.

1.2 The fibers of the classifying map

Let $p \in \mathbb{P}^3 \cong |2\Theta|$ be a general point, then the fiber $\varphi^{-1}(p)$ is the intersection of 3 quadrics

$$Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap Q_3 = \varphi^{-1}(p).$$

If $dim(\varphi^{-1}(p)) = 1$ then the fiber $\varphi^{-1}(p)$ is a degree 8 curve. Since $C \subset \varphi^{-1}(p)$ and $deg(C) = 6$, the residual curve $\varphi^{-1}(p) - C$ is a conic.

We will often denote V the space of global sections $H^0(C, \omega)$.
We have the following equality

$$H^0(C, \omega^3)_+ = Sym^3 V$$

and we denote $X \subset \mathbb{P}(Sym^3 V^*)$ the twisted cubic curve image of $\mathbb{P}V \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ via the cubic Veronese morphism. Let's consider now the linear subspace $< D >$ of $\mathbb{P}^4_\omega$ generated by the points of a divisor $D \in |\omega^2|$. Since $D \in |\omega^2|$, we can write it down as

$$D = a + b + \lambda(a) + \lambda(b)$$

for $a, b \in C$. Furthermore we remark that the annihilator of $< D >$ is $H^0(C, \omega^3(-a - b - \lambda(a) - \lambda(b)))$, that has dimension equal to 2. This means that the linear envelop $< D >$ is a $\mathbb{P}^2$, and we shall denote it as $\mathbb{P}^2_{ab}$.

Proposition 1.2.1 *[LN83] Let $c, d \in C$ and $e \in \mathbb{P}^4_\omega$ an extension*

$$0 \longrightarrow \omega^{-1} \xrightarrow{i_e} E_e \xrightarrow{\pi_e} \omega \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then $e \in \mathbb{P}^2_{cd}$ if and only if it exists a section $\beta \in H^0(C, Hom(\omega^{-1}, E))$ s.t.

$$Zeros(\pi_e \circ \beta) = c + d + \lambda(c) + \lambda(d).$$

We will denote $[\mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_C]$ the S-equivalence class of the rank 2 bundle $\mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_C$.

Proposition 1.2.2 *Let*

$$|\omega^3|^* = \mathbb{P}^4_\omega \overset{\varphi}{\dashrightarrow} \mathbb{P}^3 = |2\Theta|$$

be the classifying map. Then the closure of $\varphi^{-1}([\mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_C])$ is the cone S over a twisted cubic curve $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}$.

Proof: The vertex of S is the point $x = \mathbb{P}H^0(C, \omega^3)^*_- \in \mathbb{P}^4_\omega$, that is the projectivized anti-invariant eigen-space. This means that every line contained in S is a secant of C invariant under the involution of $\mathbb{P}^4_\omega$. Such a secant line can be written as $\overline{p\lambda(p)}$. The image of such a secant line via φ is the origin, hence $S \subset \varphi^{-1}([\mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_C])$. In order to prove the opposite inclusion we remark that a vector bundle E contained in the S-equivalence class of the origin satisfies the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \xrightarrow{\nu_E} E \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow 0.$$

This implies that the trivial bundle is a sub-bundle of E.
Let us consider the morphism ς, composition of ν_E and π_E:

$$\varsigma : \mathcal{O}_C \xrightarrow{\nu_E} E \xrightarrow{\pi_E} \omega.$$

Then $\delta \in H^0(C, \omega) = Hom(\mathcal{O}_C, \omega)$ and we obtain the following diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & \mathcal{O}_C \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, "\varsigma"] & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \omega^{-1} \arrow[r] & E \arrow[r] & \omega \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

This means that the morphism ς is a section of $H^0(C, \omega)$ and its divisor is of type $a + \lambda(a)$ for $a \in C$. Because of Proposition 1.2.1 this implies that the extension classes contained in the fiber $\varphi^{-1}([\mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_C])$ belong to an invariant secant line, therefore $\varphi^{-1}([\mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_C]) \subset S\square$

We will see that the map φ defines a conic bundle on $|2\Theta| - [\mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_C]$. We will now describe the fibers of φ on the open set complementary to the origin.

Let $E \in \mathcal{SU}_C(2)$ we will call C_E the closure $\overline{\varphi^{-1}(E)}$ of the fiber of E.

Theorem 1.2.3 *Let E be a stable vector bundle, then $dim C_E = 1$ and C_E is a smooth conic.*

Proof: Let E be a stable bundle and e the following equivalence class of extensions

$$0 \longrightarrow \omega^{-1} \longrightarrow E_e \cong E \longrightarrow \omega \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3}$$

For a general bundle E, C_E has dimension equal to 1. In fact for a genus 2 curve the Riemann-Roch theorem gives $\chi(E\omega) = 4 + 2(-1) = 2$. Moreover, by Serre duality, we have $h^1(E\omega) = h^0(E^*)$ and $h^0(E^*) = h^0(Hom(E, \mathcal{O}_C)) = 0$ because E is stable.

We define the following map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} j : \varphi^{-1}(E) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}H^0(C, E\omega) = \mathbb{P}^1, \\ e & \mapsto & j(e), \end{array}$$

that sends the extension class $e \in C_E$ on the point of $\mathbb{P}H^0(C, E\omega)$ corresponding to the first morphism of the exact sequence 3. This map has degree 1 and it is not defined on the points of $C_E \cap C$.

Furthermore we remark that the projection from $\mathcal{SU}_C(2)$ with centre $[\mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_C]$ can be described in the following way.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Delta : \mathcal{SU}_C(2) & \dashrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^2 = |\omega^2|, \\ E & \mapsto & D(E). \end{array}$$

Here by $D(E)$ we mean the divisor on C with the following support.

$$Supp(D(E)) = \{p \in C | h^0(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(p)) \neq 0\}.$$

In fact the projection from $\mathcal{O}$ is exactly the restriction to C, embedded in $Pic^1(C)$ via the Abel-Jacobi map of equation 1, of the map θ from equation 2. Now we consider the determinant map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \bigwedge^2 H^0(E\omega) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\omega^2), \\ s \wedge t & \mapsto & Zero(s \wedge t). \end{array}$$

Let $p \in C$ be a point of the curve, if $p \in \mathrm{Zero}(s \wedge t)$ then there exists a non zero section $s_p \in H^0(C, E\omega(-p))$. Hence $h^0(C, E\omega(-p)) \neq 0$. Moreover, if we make the hyperelliptic involution λ act on $E\omega(-p)$ we find that $h^0(C, E\omega(-p)) = h^0(C, E \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(p)) \neq 0$. This implies that the zero divisor of $s \wedge t$ is $D(E)$. Now $D(E)$ has degree 4 and for every $p \in D(E)$ it exists a section s_p. We remark then that the morphism j is surjective on the open set $\mathbb{P}H^0(C, E\omega)/\{s_p | p \in D(E)\}$, that means that it is dominant.

In order to end the proof we need 3 technical lemmas.

Lemma 1.2.4 *Let $D \in |\omega^2|$ be the divisor $a + b + \lambda(a) + lambda(b)$. The image of*

$$\varphi_{|\mathbb{P}^2_{ab}} : \mathbb{P}^2_{ab} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$$

is the fiber of Δ over D, i.e. the line passing by $[\mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_C]$ and the point corresponding to D in $|\omega^2|$.

Proof: We remark first that $D = C \cap \mathbb{P}^2_{ab}$, because $H^0(C, \omega^3(-a - b - \lambda(a) - \lambda(b) - c)) = H^0(C, \omega - c) = 1$ for every $c \in C$. This implies that the restriction

$$\varphi_{|\mathbb{P}^2_{ab}} : \mathbb{P}^2_{ab} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$$

is given by quadrics passing by the four points of D. The space of quadrics on $\mathbb{P}^2$ has dimension 5 and we impose 4 independent linear conditions. So the image of $\mathbb{P}^2_{ab}$ via $\varphi_{|\mathbb{P}^2_{ab}}$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$.
Let e be an extension class in $\mathbb{P}^2_{ab}$ and E_e its image via φ in $\mathcal{SU}_C(2)$. Now, by Proposition 1.2.1, the extension e belongs to $\mathbb{P}^2_{ab}$ if and only if it exists a section $\alpha \in H^0(C, Hom(\omega^{-1}, E))$ s.t., using the notation of the following diagram, we have $\mathrm{Zeros}(\pi_e \circ \alpha) = D$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & \omega^{-1} \arrow[d, "\alpha"'] \arrow[dr] & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \omega^{-1} \arrow[r, "i_e"] & E_e \arrow[r, "\pi_e"] & \omega \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

This implies that α and i_e are 2 independent sections of $E\omega$ and $\mathrm{Zeros}(i_e \wedge \alpha) = D$. $\square$

Lemma 1.2.5 *Let $E \in \mathcal{SU}_C(2)$, then we have the equality*

$$C_E \cap C = D(E).$$

Proof: Let $c, d \in C$ and let us suppose that $D(E) = c + d + \lambda(c) + \lambda(d)$. We remind that $\mathbb{P}^2_{cd}$ is the plane s.t. $c + d + \lambda(c) + \lambda(d) \subset \mathbb{P}^2_{cd}$. By Lemma 1.2.4 the fiber C_E is a conic contained in $\mathbb{P}^2_{cd}$ and passing by the 4 points of $D(E)$. Since $D(E) = C \cap \mathbb{P}^2_{cd}$ we obtain the equality $C_E \cap C = D(E)$.$\square$

Lemma 1.2.6 *Let $E \in \mathcal{SU}_C(2)$ be a stable bundle, then we have a decomposition*

$$H^0(C, E\omega) = H^0(C, E\omega)_+ \oplus H^0(C, E\omega)_-$$

of $H^0(C, E\omega)$ in two eigen-spaces of dimension 1.

Proof: We will use the Atiyah-Bott-Lefschetz formula, so we must choose a linearization

$$\nu : \lambda^* E \xrightarrow{\sim} E$$

for the action of λ on E and watch how it acts on the fibers over the points of $\mathcal{W}$. The bundle E is an extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \omega^{-1} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \omega \longrightarrow 0$$

so a linearization on E is defined once one chooses two linearizations on ω and ω^{-1}. We have already chosen

$$\delta : \lambda^* \omega \longrightarrow \omega$$

that acts trivially on the fibers over the points of $\mathcal{W}$. For the line bundle ω^{-1} we have two different choices: the linearization that acts trivially on the fibers over the points of $\mathcal{W}$ and its inverse. Let $x \in \mathcal{W}$, then we can decompose

$$E_x = \omega_x \oplus \omega_x^{-1}. \tag{4}$$

If we choose the first linearization on ω^{-1} then, by Kempf Lemma, the vector bundle E would be the pull-back of a bundle F over $\mathbb{P}^1$ defined by the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{5}$$

We remark that the only vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1$ that verifies the exact sequence (5) is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ and it is not semi-stable. Then the choice of δ as a linearization for ω forces us to choose the linearization

$$\tilde{\delta} : \lambda^* \omega^{-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \omega^{-1}$$

that induces $-Id$ on the fibers over the points of $\mathcal{W}$. We recall that $h^0(C, E\omega) = 2$. Thanks to the decomposition (4) the trace of the linearization $\delta \oplus \tilde{\delta}$ is zero. Then by the Atiyah-Bott-Lefschetz formula we have

$$h^0(C, E\omega)_+ - h^0(C, E\omega)_- = 0,$$

that means

$$h^0(C, E\omega)_+ = h^0(C, E\omega)_- = 1.$$

□

Continuation of the proof of Theorem 1.2.3:
Thanks to Lemmas 1.2.4 and 1.2.5 we can extend the morphism j to the closure $C_E = \overline{\varphi^{-1}(E)}$. We send every point $p \in D(E)$ on the section s_p that vanishes in p. We will denote this morphism

$$\tilde{j} : C_E \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1.$$

The conic C_E is either smooth, or the union of two disjoint lines, or a double line. The morphism $\tilde{j}$ is surjective on $\mathbb{P}^1$ and its degree is 1. Then it exists a morphism τ s.t. $\tilde{j} \circ \tau = Id_{\mathbb{P}^1}$. This means that we have an isomorphism between $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{P}H^0(C, E\omega)$ and a component of C_E. The morphism τ is equivariant under the action of λ and by Lemma 1.2.6 the space $H^0(C, E\omega)$ has two eigen-spaces. This means that the component that is image of τ must cut $\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}$ in two different points, that means that C_E is a smooth conic. □

Theorem 1.2.7 *Let E be a strictly semi-stable vector bundle, then C_E is singular. If $E \cong L \oplus L^{-1}$ for $L \in JC[2]/\mathcal{O}_C$ then C_E is a double line.*

Proof: If the bundle E is strictly semi-stable we know, by Lemma 1.1.1 and Remark 1.1.2 that the fiber consists of two lines so it is either a rank 2 or a rank 1 conic. Moreover the fibers over the points of $JC[2]/\mathcal{O}_C$ are the double lines $\overline{w_iw_j}$, for w_i, w_j two different Weierstrass points. These are all the λ-invariant couples of points, that means that the 15 2-torsion points are the rank 1 locus. □

2 The conic bundle

In the following, we will often denote $\mathbb{P}^2_\omega$ the linear system $|\omega^2|$. We will now define a rank 2 projective bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathbb{P}^2_\omega$ strictly connected to the classifying map.

Since the rational map

$$\Delta : \mathcal{SU}_C(2) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2_\omega$$

is surjective, every point of $\mathbb{P}^2_\omega$ can be represented by a divisor $\Delta(E)$ for a semi-stable bundle E on C. We start by constructing a rank 2 vector bundle $\mathcal{A}$ on $\mathbb{P}^2_\omega$. Let us first define the fiber $\mathcal{A}_E$ over the point $\Delta(E)$: we want that $\mathcal{A}_E \subset H^0(C,\omega^3)^*_+$ and that its dual is the cokernel of the natural multiplication map

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(C,\omega) \xrightarrow{+\Delta(E)} H^0(C,\omega^3)_+ \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^*_E \longrightarrow 0. \tag{6}$$

Furthermore we can generalize the sequence 6 to an exact sequence (in fact a global version of the one just defined) of vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^2_\omega$. In order to do this we define a new rank 2 vector bundle $\mathcal{G}$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$.

We remark in fact that it exists a natural morphism of vector bundles

$$\nu : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(-1) \longrightarrow H^0(C,\omega^2) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}$$

that sends the fiber over one point p on the line in $H^0(C,\omega^2)$ whose projectivized is p . Now we twist by $H^0(C,\omega)$ the morphism ν: we get the morphism

$$Id_{H^0(C,\omega)} \otimes \nu =: \nu' : H^0(C,\omega) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(-1) \longrightarrow H^0(C,\omega) \otimes H^0(C,\omega^2) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}.$$

Moreover it exists a natural multiplication morphism

$$\mu : H^0(C,\omega) \otimes H^0(C,\omega^2) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega} \longrightarrow H^0(C,\omega^3)_+ \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}.$$

We define

$$\mathcal{G} := H^0(C,\omega) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(-1)$$

and the injective morphism

$$\alpha := \mu \circ \nu' : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow H^0(C,\omega^3)_+ \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}.$$

The bundle $\mathcal{G}$ is in fact a sub-bundle of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega} \otimes H^0(C,\omega^3)_+$: the fiber over every $\Delta(E) \in \mathbb{P}^2_\omega$ is composed by the divisors of $H^0(C,\omega^3)_+$ of the form $\Delta(E) + \delta$, with $\delta \in H^0(C,\omega)$. We define

$$\mathcal{A}^* := coker(\alpha : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow H^0(C,\omega^3)_+ \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega})$$

and we get the following exact sequence of bundles on $\mathbb{P}^2_\omega$.

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega} \otimes H^0(C,\omega^3)_+ \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^* \longrightarrow 0. \tag{7}$$

Definition 2.0.8 *We define the vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathbb{P}^2_\omega$ as*

$$\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}.$$

The situation is resumed in the following diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E} \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d] & {|\omega^3|^* \times \mathbb{P}^2_\omega} \arrow[d] \\
\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A} \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[dr] & \mathbb{P}Sym^3V^* \times \mathbb{P}^2_\omega \arrow[d] \\
 & \mathbb{P}^2_\omega
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $y \in \mathbb{P}^2_\omega$. Then we can identify y and a divisor $a+b$ of degree 2 on X, with $a, b \in X$. The fiber $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}_y$ is the secant line $\overline{ab}$ to $X \subset \mathbb{P}Sym^3V^*$ and $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}_y$ is the plane $< \overline{ab}, x > \subset |\omega^3|^*$.

Let

$$pr_x : \mathbb{P}^4_\omega \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}$$

be the projection with centre x.

Proposition 2.0.9 *We have a commutative diagram of rational maps*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{P}^4_\omega \arrow[r, dashed, "\varphi"] \arrow[d, "pr_x"] & {|\mathcal{I}_C(2)|^* = \mathbb{P}^3} \arrow[d, "\Delta"] \\
\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+} \arrow[r, dashed, "\phi"] & {\mathbb{P}^2_\omega \cong |\mathcal{I}_X(2)|^*,}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where ϕ is defined as follows. Given a $t \in \mathbb{P}^3 \setminus X$, let l_t be the only secant line to X passing by t. The application ϕ sends t on the pencil $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset |\mathcal{I}_X(2)|$ given by the quadrics vanishing on the union $X \cup l_t$.

Proof: First we show that there exists a unique secant line l to X that passes by t. Projecting X with centre t we remark that the image is a plane cubic, that has one knot by the genus formula. This implies that there exists a unique secant line to X passing by t. Moreover the projection pr_x induces an isomorphism

$$pr_x^*|\mathcal{I}_X(2)| \cong |I_S(2)|.$$

Since $\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{O}) = S$ (Prop. 1.2.2) and Δ is the projection with centre $[\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}]$, the diagram commutes. $\square$

Remark 2.0.10 *The map ϕ can be defined in a different way. Since $\mathbb{P}^2_\omega \cong \mathbb{P}Sym^2H^0(C,\omega)$, ϕ is the map that sends $t \in \mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+} \setminus X$ on the pair of points of X cut out by the unique secant line l_t to X passing by t.*

Let $Bl_X\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}$ be the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}$ along the twisted cubic and

$$\mu : Bl_X\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}$$

the projection on $\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}$. Since X is scheme-theoretically defined by the 3-dimensional space of quadrics $\mathcal{I}_X(2)$ it exists a morphism $\tilde{\phi}$ that makes the following diagram commute.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Bl_X\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+} \arrow[d, "\mu"'] \arrow[dr, "\tilde{\phi}"] & \\
X \subset \mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+} \arrow[r, dashed, "\phi"] & \mathbb{P}^2_\omega
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence the morphism $\tilde{\phi}$ defines a $\mathbb{P}^1$-fibration on $\mathbb{P}^2_\omega$. Futhermore the exceptional divisor $E \subset Bl_X\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}$ is the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(N_{X|\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}})$ of the normal bundle of $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}$.

Lemma 2.0.11 *We have an isomorphism*

$$N_{X|\mathbb{P}^3} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(5) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(5).$$

Proof: Let

$$\begin{array}{rcl} i : \mathbb{P}V & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}; \\ [u : v] & \mapsto & [u^3 : u^2v : vu^2 : v^3]; \end{array}$$

be the Veronese embedding. We have the following exact sequence.

$$0 \longrightarrow T_X \longrightarrow i^*T_{\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}} \longrightarrow N_{X|\mathbb{P}^3} \longrightarrow 0. \tag{8}$$

Since $X \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ we have $T_X \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)$. Then we pull-back via i^* the Euler exact sequence and we get

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \xrightarrow{k} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(3)^{\oplus 4} \xrightarrow{h} i^*T_{\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let l be a local section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ and u, v the coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^1$, then we can write the morphism k down in the following way

$$\begin{array}{rcl} k : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(3)^{\oplus 4}; \\ l & \mapsto & (u^3 l, u^2 v l, u v^2 l, v^3 l). \end{array}$$

We denote X, Y, Z, T the coordinates on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(3)^{\oplus 4}$. Moreover the morphism h is given by the equations of the line image of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(3)^{\oplus 4}$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} h : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(3)^{\oplus 4} & \longrightarrow & i^*T_{\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}}; \\ (X, Y, Z, T) & \mapsto & (vX - uY, vY - uZ, vZ - uT). \end{array}$$

Hence we have $i^*T_{\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(4)^{\oplus 3}$ and we can rewrite the exact sequence (8) in the following way

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \cong T_X \xrightarrow{di} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(4)^{\oplus 3} \cong i^*T_{\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}} \longrightarrow N_{X|\mathbb{P}^3} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where di is the differential of i. On the affine open set $\{v \neq 0\}$ the morphism di is defined by the following equations

$$\begin{array}{rcl} di : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(4)^{\oplus 3}; \\ l & \mapsto & (3lu^2, 2luv, lv). \end{array}$$

Let (C, D, F) be the coordinates on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(4)^{\oplus 3}$, then the equations of the line image of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(4)^{\oplus 3}$ are

$$(vA - uB, vB - uC);$$

this implies that $N_{X|\mathbb{P}^3} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(5) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(5)$.$\square$

Since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(5) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(5) \cong (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(5)$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(N_{X|\mathbb{P}^3}) \cong X \times \mathbb{P}^1 \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1.$$

We will denote

$$\sigma : \mathbb{P}\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2_{\omega}$$

the projection of the projective bundle and E the exceptional divisor in $Bl_X\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}$.

Proposition 2.0.12 *There exists an isomorphism of projective bundles on* $\mathbb{P}^2_\omega$

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathbb{P}\mathcal{A} \arrow[rr, "\sim"] \arrow[dr, "\sigma"'] & & Bl_X\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+} \arrow[dl, "\tilde{\phi}"] \\ & \mathbb{P}^2_\omega & \end{tikzcd}$$

Proof: Let $x \in \mathbb{P}^2_\omega$, $a+b$ the divisor on X that corresponds to x and $t \in \mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}_x$. We recall that $\mathcal{A}$ is a sub-bundle of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}} \otimes H^0(\omega^3)^*_+$ and the projectivization gives us an embedding

$$k : \mathbb{P}\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2_\omega \times \mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}.$$

Moreover we have

$$k(\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}_x) = \overline{ab} \subset \mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}.$$

We will often consider $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}$ as a sub-variety of $\mathbb{P}^2_\omega \times \mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}$. If $t \in \mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+} \setminus X$ then $\overline{ab}$ is the only secant line to X passing by t.

We define a morphism

$$\varpi := \tilde{\phi} \times \mu : Bl_X\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2_\omega \times \mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}.$$

The morphism ϖ has a birational inverse

$$\varpi^{-1} : \mathbb{P}\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{P}^2_\omega \times \mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+} \dashrightarrow Bl_X\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}$$

defined as follows. We define ϖ^{-1} on the open set of $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}$ given by the couples (x,t) s.t. $x = a+b$ is a point of $\mathbb{P}^2_\omega$ s.t. $a \neq b$ and $t \in \{\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}_x \setminus X\}$. We send $(x,t) \in \mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}$ on $\mu^{-1}(t) \in Bl_X\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}$. Then by Zariski's main theorem the morphism ϖ induces an isomorphism between $Bl_X\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}$ and $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}$. $\square$

Let

$$\beta : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}$$

be the natural projection and η the composed map

$$\eta : \mathbb{P}\mathcal{E} \overset{\beta}{\dashrightarrow} \mathbb{P}\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathbb{P}^2_\omega.$$

Since the map φ is given by the quadrics in the ideal of $C \subset \mathbb{P}^4_\omega$, there exists a morphism

$$\overline{\varphi} : Bl_C\mathbb{P}^4_\omega \longrightarrow |2\Theta|$$

that makes the following diagram commute.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Bl_C\mathbb{P}^4_\omega \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, "\overline{\varphi}"] & \\
\mathbb{P}^4_\omega \arrow[r, dashed, "\varphi"] & {|2\Theta|}
\end{tikzcd}$$

We will denote $\mathbb{P}^3_{\mathcal{O}}$ the blow-up of $|2\Theta| = \mathbb{P}^3$ at the point $[\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}]$ and pr_0 the morphism that resolves the projection Δ with centre $[\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}]$ and that makes the following diagram commute.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{P}^3_{\mathcal{O}} \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, "pr_0"] & \\
\mathbb{P}^3 \arrow[r, dashed, "\Delta"] & \mathbb{P}^2_\omega
\end{tikzcd}$$

The morphism

$$pr_0 : \mathbb{P}^3_{\mathcal{O}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2_\omega$$

defines a $\mathbb{P}^1$-fibration on $\mathbb{P}^2_\omega$ hence $\mathbb{P}^3_{\mathcal{O}} \cong \mathbb{P}M$ for some rank 2 vector bundle M on $\mathbb{P}^2_\omega$. We denote $F = \mathbb{P}\mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{O}}\mathbb{P}^3 \cong \mathbb{P}^2_\omega$ the exceptional divisor over the origin in $\mathbb{P}^3_{\mathcal{O}}$. The vector bundle M is defined up to a line bundle L, because, as projective varieties $\mathbb{P}M \cong \mathbb{P}(M \otimes L)$, so we choose M once and for all as the vector bundle s.t.

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}M}(1) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3_{\mathcal{O}}}(F).$$

Lemma 2.0.13 *We have the equality*

$$M = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(1).$$

Proof: We have $M^* = pr_{0*}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3_{\mathcal{O}}}(F)$ and we consider the restriction exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3_{\mathcal{O}}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3_{\mathcal{O}}}(F) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_F(F) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{9}$$

We push down via pr_0 the exact sequence (9) and we get

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega} \longrightarrow M^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(-1) \longrightarrow 0.$$

This means that M^* determines an extension class (e) in $Ext^1(\mathcal{O}(-1), \mathcal{O})$. We remark that

$$Ext^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(-1), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}) = H^1(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{O}(1)) = \{0\}$$

thus M^* is the trivial extension, i.e.

$$M^* = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(-1).$$

□

By Proposition 1.2.2, we have $\overline{\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{O})} = S$, so there exists a morphism

$$\tilde{\varphi} : Bl_S\mathbb{P}^4_\omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3_\mathcal{O}$$

that makes the following diagram commute.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Bl_S\mathbb{P}^4_\omega \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, "\tilde{\varphi}"] & \\
Bl_C\mathbb{P}^4_\omega \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, "\overline{\varphi}"] & \mathbb{P}^3_\mathcal{O} \arrow[d] \\
\mathbb{P}^4_\omega \arrow[r, dashed, "\varphi"] & {|2\Theta|}
\end{tikzcd}$$

We will denote ϱ the composed map

$$\varrho : Bl_S\mathbb{P}^4_\omega \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} \mathbb{P}^3_\mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{pr_0} \mathbb{P}^2_\omega$$

and π the projection

$$\pi : Bl_S\mathbb{P}^4_\omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^4_\omega.$$

Proposition 2.0.14 *Let $S \subset \mathbb{P}^4_\omega$ be the cone over the twisted cubic X of Proposition 1.2.2. Then there exists an isomorphism of projective bundles on $\mathbb{P}^2_\omega$*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E} \arrow[rr, "\sim"] \arrow[dr, "\eta"'] & & Bl_S\mathbb{P}^4_\omega \arrow[dl, "\varrho"] \\
& \mathbb{P}^2_\omega. &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof: Let $x \in \mathbb{P}^2_\omega$, $a+b$ the divisor on X corresponding to x and $s \in \mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}_x$. We recall that $\mathcal{E}$ is a sub-bundle of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}} \otimes H^0(\omega^3)^*$, thus we have an embedding

$$j : \mathbb{P}\mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2_\omega \times \mathbb{P}^4_\omega.$$

Moreover we have

$$j(\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}_x) = x \times \langle a + b + \lambda(a) + \lambda(b) \rangle \subset \mathbb{P}^4_\omega.$$

We will often consider $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}$ as a sub-variety of $\mathbb{P}^2_\omega \times \mathbb{P}^4_\omega$. We also remark that

$$j(\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}_x) \cap \mathbb{P}^2_\omega \times \mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+} = k(\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}_x) = x \times \overline{ab}.$$

We define a morphism

$$\varpi' := (\varrho, \pi) : Bl_S\mathbb{P}^4_\omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2_\omega \times \mathbb{P}^4_\omega.$$

The morphism ϖ' has a birational inverse

$$\varpi'^{-1} : \mathbb{P}\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{P}^2_\omega \times \mathbb{P}^4_\omega \dashrightarrow Bl_S\mathbb{P}^4_\omega$$

defined as follows. We define ϖ'^{-1} on the open set of $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}$ given by the couples (x, s) s.t. $x = a + b$ is a point of $\mathbb{P}^2_\omega$ s.t. $a \neq b$ and $s \in \{\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}_x \setminus S\}$. The pair (x, s) is sent on $\pi^{-1}(s) \in Bl_S\mathbb{P}^4_\omega$. Then, by Zariski's main theorem ϖ' induces an isomorphism between $Bl_S\mathbb{P}^4_\omega$ and $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}$.$\square$

We recall that we denoted E the exceptional divisor of $Bl_X\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}$.

Theorem 2.0.15 *The restricted map*

$$\tilde{\phi}_{|E} : E \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2_\omega$$

is a morphism of degree 2 ramified along the conic that is the image of $\mathbb{P}V$ *via the quadratic Veronese embedding* Ver_2.

Proof: We recall that $E \cong X \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Moreover we remark that $\tilde{\phi}_{|E}$ is given by the differential of φ and we have

$$\tilde{\phi}_{|\{a\}\times\mathbb{P}^1} : \mathbb{P}(N_{X|\mathbb{P}^3,a}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2_\omega.$$

Let $a, b \in X$, then we have

$$\tilde{\phi}(\overline{ab} - \{a, b\}) = a + b \in |\omega^2|.$$

Let $v_b \in \mathrm{T}_a\mathbb{P}^3$ the tangent vector to $\mathbb{P}^3$ with direction $\overline{ab}$. Then, since the line $\overline{ab}$ is contracted to a point, we have

$$\tilde{\phi}(v_b) = a + b \in |\omega^2|.$$

Furthermore, every normal vector $v \in \mathbb{P}^1 \cong \mathbb{P}(N_{X|\mathbb{P}^3,a})$ is of type v_b for a point $b \in X$. This implies that

$$\tilde{\phi}(\{a\} \times \mathbb{P}^1) = D_a := \{a + b | b \in X\}.$$

The line $D_a \subset \mathbb{P}^2_\omega$ is the tangent line at the point $2a$ to the conic in $\mathbb{P}^2_\omega$ obtained as the image of the Veronese morphism

$$\begin{aligned} Ver_2 : \mathbb{P}V &\longrightarrow |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)|^* = \mathbb{P}^2_\omega; && (10) \\ p &\mapsto 2p. && (11) \end{aligned}$$

Let $a + b$ be again the divisor on X corresponding to $x \in \mathbb{P}^2_\omega$, then the fiber $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}(x)$ in $X \times \mathbb{P}^1 \cong \mathbb{P}(N_{X|\mathbb{P}^3})$ is composed by two points $\{(a, \alpha), (b, \beta)\}$ if x is not contained in the conic. We also remark that if x is a point contained in the conic the fiber is just one point. This defines a degree 2 covering ramified along the conic.□

We will denote $\tilde{E}$ the exceptional divisor of $Bl_S\mathbb{P}^4_\omega \cong \mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}$. We have

$$\tilde{E} \cong \overline{\beta^{-1}(E)}.$$

Proposition 2.0.16 *The restricted map*

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{|\tilde{E}} : \tilde{E} \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{2:1} F \cong \mathbb{P}^2_\omega$$

defines a conic bundle

Proof: The situation is the following

$$\begin{tikzcd} \tilde{E} \subset \arrow[d, "\beta"] & \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{O}) = Bl_S\mathbb{P}^4_\omega \arrow[dr, "\tilde{\varphi}"] & \\ E \subset \arrow[d, "\sigma"'] & \mathbb{P}\mathcal{A} = Bl_X\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+} \arrow[r] & \mathbb{P}^3_\mathcal{O} \\ \mathbb{P}^2_\omega & & \end{tikzcd}$$

Let us consider the composed map

$$\eta_{|\mathbb{P}\tilde{E}} := \sigma \circ \beta_{|\mathbb{P}\tilde{E}} : \mathbb{P}\tilde{E} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2.$$

The fiber of η over a point $x \in \mathbb{P}^2$ is a rank two conic if $x \notin Ver_2(\mathbb{P}^1)$ and a double line if $x \in Ver_2(\mathbb{P}^1)$.□

Proposition 2.0.16 and Theorem 1.2.7 imply the following theorem.

Theorem 2.0.17 *The morphism*

$$\tilde{\varphi} : Bl_S(\mathbb{P}^4) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3_{\mathcal{O}}$$

is a conic bundle whose discriminant locus is the blow-up at the origin of the Kummer surface K^0*.*

Remark 2.0.18 *Moreover we remark that the conic* $Ver_2(\mathbb{P}^1)$ *is the tangent cone at the origin of the Kummer surface.*

Remark 2.0.19 *We recall that* $Pic(\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$*, notably*

$$Pic(\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}}(1)\mathbb{Z} \times \sigma^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(1)\mathbb{Z}.$$

We also recall that the map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mu : \mathbb{P}\mathcal{A} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+} = \mathbb{P}Sym^3V; \\ \mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}_x & \mapsto & \overline{ab}; \end{array}$$

that sends the fiber over x on the secant line $\overline{ab}$ to X is the projection of the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}$ along X, hence $\mu^{-1}(X) = E$.

Lemma 2.0.20 *We have*

$$\mu^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}}(1) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}}(1).$$

Proof: Our aim is to determine two integers $l, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t.

$$\mu^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}}(1) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}}(l) \otimes \sigma^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(k).$$

Since the map μ is the projection of the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}$ along X, we have $l = 1$. Now we need to determine k. We have

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}, \mu^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}}(1)) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}, \mu_*\mu^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}}(1)),$$

and by projection formula this is equal to $H^0(\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}}(1) \otimes \mu_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}})$. Since the fibers of μ are connected

$$\mu_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}}.$$

Therefore

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}, \mu^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}}(1)) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3_{\omega+}}(1)) = Sym^3V.$$

By taking the cohomology of the exact sequence (7) we get an isomorphism

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^*) \cong Sym^3 V. \tag{12}$$

In order to determine k we compute $H^0(\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}}(1) \otimes \sigma^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(k))$. By the projection formula we get

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}}(1) \otimes \sigma^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(k)) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(k)). \tag{13}$$

We twist the exact sequence (7) by the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(k)$ and we take the cohomology of the obtained sequence. This leads us to conclude that the equality

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(k)) = Sym^3 V$$

is possible only for $k = 0$.$\square$

Now we compute the class of the exceptional divisor E in the Picard group of $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}$.

Theorem 2.0.21 *We have an isomorphism in $Pic(\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A})$*

$$\mathcal{O}(E)_{\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}}(2) \otimes \sigma^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(-1).$$

Proof: First we compute the first factor. We recall that the restricted map

$$\tilde{\phi} : E \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2_\omega$$

defines a degree 2 morphism ramified along a smooth conic (Thm. 2.0.15). If $x \in \mathbb{P}^2_\omega$ then the intersection $E_x = \tilde{E} \cap \mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}_x$ is made up of two points. This implies that

$$\mathcal{O}(E)_{\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}}(2) \otimes \sigma^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(m),$$

for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have the following equalities.

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{O}(1)) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \sigma_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}}(1)) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^*).$$

Let $a, b \in X$ and let $x \in \mathbb{P}^2_\omega$ be as usual the point corresponding to the divisor $a + b$. Let us consider a smooth quadric $Q \subset |\mathcal{I}_X(2)|$. Then we have

$$\mu^{-1}(Q) = E + R_Q \subset |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}}(2)|,$$

where we denote by $R_Q \subset \mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}$ the residual divisor. Moreover, we have either $\overline{ab} \subset Q$, or $\overline{ab} \cap Q = \{a, b\}$.
We define

$$\mathcal{C}_Q := \{a + b = x \in \mathbb{P}^2 | \overline{ab} \subset Q\}$$

and $R_Q = \sigma^{-1}(C_Q)$.

It is well known that, since Q is smooth, we have an isomorphism $Q \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ via the Segre embedding and that $X \subset Q$ can be seen as the zero locus of a bihomogeneus polynomial of degree $(1, 2)$.

This means that we have an embedding

$$\begin{array}{rcl} X = \mathbb{P}^1 & \hookrightarrow & Q = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1; \\ [u : v] & \mapsto & ([u^2, v^2], [u, v]). \end{array}$$

This implies that, if we choose a $p \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and we let t vary in the other $\mathbb{P}^1$, the lines of the ruling $\{t\} \times p$ intersect X in two points. The lines of the other ruling of Q intersect X in just one point. Let α be the following morphism

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \alpha : \mathbb{P}^1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^1; \\ [u : v] & \mapsto & [u^2 : v^2]. \end{array}$$

Then we have a linear embedding

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(1)) \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(2))$$

and the line $\mathbb{P}(\alpha^*(H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(1))))$ is $\mathcal{C}_Q$. This implies that

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}}(R) = \sigma^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(1).$$

and thus

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}}(E) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}}(2) \otimes \sigma^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(-1).$$

□

The morphism $\tilde{\varphi}$ then makes the following diagram of projective bundles on $\mathbb{P}^2$ commute.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Bl_S \mathbb{P}^4_\omega \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}) \arrow[rr, "\tilde{\varphi}"] \arrow[dr, "\varrho"'] & & \mathbb{P}[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(1)] = \mathbb{P}^3_{\mathcal{O}} \arrow[dl, "pr_0"] \\
& \mathbb{P}^2_\omega &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The pull back $\tilde{\varphi}^*$ induces a homomorphism

$$\tilde{\varphi}^* : Pic(\mathbb{P}^3_{\mathcal{O}}) \longrightarrow Pic(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega})), \tag{14}$$

and both Picard groups are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 2.0.22 *The homomorphism of equation (14) is the following.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z} \\ (a,b) & \mapsto & (2a, b-a). \end{array}$$

Proof: We have the following equalities:

$$\begin{array}{r} Pic(\mathbb{P}^3_{\mathcal{O}}) = \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3_{\mathcal{O}}}(1)\times\mathbb{Z}pr_0^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(1); \\ Pic(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}\oplus\mathcal{O})) = \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}\oplus\mathcal{O})}(1)\times\mathbb{Z}\eta^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(1). \end{array}$$

Moreover, since $pr_0\circ\tilde{\varphi}=\eta$, we have

$$\tilde{\varphi}(0,b)=(0,b).$$

We recall that we chose F in such a way that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3_{\mathcal{O}}}(1)=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3_{\mathcal{O}}}(F)$, this means that we have

$$\tilde{\varphi}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3_{\mathcal{O}}}(1)=\tilde{\varphi}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3_{\mathcal{O}}}(E)=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(F))=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}}(\beta^{-1}(E))=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}}(2)\otimes\eta^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(-1).$$

This implies

$$(a,0)\mapsto(2a,-a).$$

□

As a consequence of the last proposition, we have

$$\tilde{\varphi}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3_{\mathcal{O}}}(1)=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}}(2)\otimes\eta^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(-1). \tag{15}$$

Furthermore $\tilde{\varphi}$ induces a natural morphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3_{\mathcal{O}}}(1)\longrightarrow\tilde{\varphi}_*\tilde{\varphi}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3_{\mathcal{O}}}(1). \tag{16}$$

By applying pr_{0*} to the morphism (16) and using the equality (15), we obtain a morphism of sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^2_\omega$

$$\tilde{\varphi}^*: pr_{0*}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3_{\mathcal{O}}}(1)=\mathcal{O}\oplus\mathcal{O}(-1)=M^*\longrightarrow\eta_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}}(2)\otimes\eta^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(-1)).$$

By the projection formula,

$$\eta_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}}(2)\otimes\eta^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(-1))\cong Sym^2(\mathcal{A}^*\oplus\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega})\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(-1).$$

Remark 2.0.23 *We showed that $\mathbb{P}M^*$ defines a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle on $\mathbb{P}^2_\omega$. Let $y\in\mathbb{P}^2_\omega$ and let c,d be the points of X s.t. y is the divisor $c+d$ on X. We recall that C is a degree 2 covering of $X\cong\mathbb{P}V$. Then $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}\oplus\mathcal{O})_y\subset\mathbb{P}^4_\omega$ is the $\mathbb{P}^2$ generated by the two pairs of points of C whose images in X are respectively c and d. The fiber $\mathbb{P}M^*_y$ is in fact the pencil of conics in $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}_y$ that pass by these four points.*

Lemma 2.0.24 *We have*

$$h^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^*(-1)) = 0;$$
$$h^1(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^*(-1)) = 0.$$

Proof: We twist the exact sequence (7) by the vector bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(-1)$ and we find

$$0 \longrightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(-1) \otimes Sym^3V \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^*(-1) \longrightarrow 0.$$

By taking cohomology, we get

$$h^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{O}(-1)) = h^1(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{O}(-2)) = 0.$$

This gives us the first equality. Then, we have

$$h^1(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{O}(-1)) = 0$$

and by duality

$$H^2(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{O}(-2)) \cong H^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{O}(-1),$$

hence $h^2(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{O}(-2)) = 0$. This implies the second equality.□

Lemma 2.0.25 *We have*

$$h^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, Sym^2\mathcal{A}^*) = 10.$$

Proof: By twisting the exact sequence (7) by $\mathcal{A}^*$ we obtain the following exact sequence.

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^*(-1) \otimes V \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^* \otimes Sym^3V \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{*2} \longrightarrow 0.$$

This implies that we have

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^{*2}) \cong H^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^*) \otimes Sym^3V) = Sym^3V \otimes Sym^3V,$$

and therefore $h^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^{*2}) = 16$. Moreover we remark that

$$h^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^{*2}) = h^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \wedge^2\mathcal{A}^*) \oplus h^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, Sym^2\mathcal{A}^*).$$

By taking determinants in the exact sequence (7) we get that $\bigwedge^2 \mathcal{A}^* = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(2)$ and $h^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{A}^*) = h^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{O}(2)) = 6$. This implies directly the lemma.□

Lemma 2.0.26 *We have*

$$h^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, Sym^2A^*(-1)) = 1.$$

Proof: We twist the exact sequence (7) by the line bundle $\mathcal{A}^*(-1)$ and we get the following exact sequence.

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^*(-2) \otimes V \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^*(-1) \otimes Sym^3V \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{*2}(-1) \longrightarrow 0.$$

By passing to cohomology we have that

$$h^1(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^*(-1)) \otimes Sym^3V = h^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^*(-1)) \otimes Sym^3V = 0.$$

Thanks to Lemma 2.0.24 we have then

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^{*2}(-1)) \cong H^1(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^*(-2)) \otimes V. \tag{17}$$

We twist the exact sequence (7) by the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(-2)$ and we get

$$0 \longrightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(-3) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(-2) \otimes Sym^3V \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^*(-2) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Moreover we remark that $h^1(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{O}(-2) = 0$ and $h^2(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{O}(-3)) = 1$. By duality $h^2(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{O}(-2)) = h^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{O}(-1)) = 0$, then

$$h^1(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^*(-2)) = h^2(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{O}(-3)) \otimes V = 2.$$

The equality (17) implies that $h^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^{*2}(-1) = 4$. The vector bundle $\mathcal{A}^{2*}(-1)$ decomposes as $Sym^2\mathcal{A}^*(-1) \oplus \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{A}^*(-1)$. Since

$$h^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{A}^*(-1)) = h^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{O}(1)) = 3$$

we have that

$$h^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, Sym^2\mathcal{A}^*(-1)) = 4 - 3 = 1.$$

□

Corollary 2.0.27 *We have*

$$dim(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(-1), Sym^2(\mathcal{A}^* \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(-1))) = 16.$$

Proof: We will denote

$$B := \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(-1), Sym^2(\mathcal{A}^* \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(-1)).$$

We have

$$B = H^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, Sym^2\mathcal{A}^* \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega} \oplus \mathcal{A}^* \oplus Sym^2\mathcal{A}^*(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{A}^*(-1)).$$

Summing the dimensions of the direct summands we have

$$dimB = 10 + 1 + 4 + 1 = 16.$$

□

Remark 2.0.28 *The projective plane* $\mathbb{P}^2_\omega = \mathbb{P}Sym^2V$ *does not depend on the curve* C*, because* V *is an abstract vector space. Since it is defined by the exact sequence 7, even* $\mathcal{A}$ *does not depend on the curve* C*.*

This means that to a given a genus 2 curve C we can associate a conic bundle over $\mathbb{P}^2$, notably the bundle defined by the section

$$\tilde{\varphi}_C \in \mathbb{P}B.$$

Its discriminant locus is the blow-up (at the origin) of the Kummmer surface $K^0 = Jac(C)/\pm Id$. In this way we build a moduli map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Xi : \{\text{smooth genus 2 curves}\} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^{15} = \mathbb{P}B; \\ C & \mapsto & \tilde{\varphi}_C. \end{array}$$

Lemma 2.0.29 *Let* Y *a smooth projective variety,*

$$f : G \longrightarrow Y$$

a conic bundle, then there exists a rank 3 vector bundle F *on* Y*, a line bundle* L *and one section* $q \in H^0(Y, Sym^2H \otimes L^k)$ *for some integer* k*, s.t.* G *is the zero scheme of* q *in the projective bundle* $\mathbb{P}F$*.*

Proof: The proof follows that of Proposition 1.2 of [Bea77]. The assertion is equivalent to the existence of a line bundle N on G inducing the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{G_s}(1)$ on every fiber G_s. More precisely we will have $H = f_*N$. If we consider the sheaf of differentials of maximum degree ω_G then by the adjunction formula we have $\omega_{G|G_s} \cong \omega_{G_s}$ and $\omega_{G_s} \cong \mathcal{O}_{G_s}(-1)$. Then we take $N = \omega_G^{-1}$.□

Proposition 2.0.30 *Let*

$$\tilde{\varphi} : Bl_S\mathbb{P}^4_\omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3_\mathcal{O}$$

be the conic bundle of Theorem 2.0.17. Then $Bl_S\mathbb{P}^4_\omega$ *is a divisor in the total space of the bundle* $\mathbb{P}(pr_0^*\mathcal{E})$ *on* $\mathbb{P}^3_\mathcal{O}$*.*

Proof: Let $y \in \mathbb{P}^3_\mathcal{O}$, then we have

$$\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(y) \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_{pr_0(y)}).$$

Then the rank 3 vector bundle associated to $\tilde{\varphi}$ is $pr_0^*\mathcal{E}$.□

Moreover $Bl_S\mathbb{P}^4_\omega = \mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}$. This means we have the following diagram, where the lower square is a fiber product.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Bl_s\mathbb{P}^4_\omega \arrow[dr, dotted, "Z"] \arrow[drr, bend left, "\tilde{\varphi}"] \arrow[ddr, bend right, "Id"'] & & \\
& \mathbb{P}(pr_0^*\mathcal{E}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{P}^3_{\mathcal{O}} \arrow[d, "pr_0"'] \\
& \mathbb{P}\mathcal{E} \arrow[r, "\eta"] & \mathbb{P}^2_\omega
\end{tikzcd}$$

In this diagram Z is the embedding of $Bl_S\mathbb{P}^4_\omega$ in $\mathbb{P}(pr_0^*\mathcal{E})$ induced by the universal property of fiber product.

3 Stability and deformations of $\mathcal{A}$.

3.1 Stability

In this section we will go through the question of the stability of $\mathcal{A}$ and we will calculate its space of deformations. Let H be the hyperplane class that generates $Pic(\mathbb{P}^2)$. If we take the cohomology of the exact sequence 7 (and of its dual sequence) and we compute the Chern polynomials we find that

$$\begin{gathered} c_1(\mathcal{A}) = -2H, \\ c_2(\mathcal{A}) = 3H^2, \\ c_1(\mathcal{A}^*) = 2H, \\ c_2(\mathcal{A}^*) = 3H^2. \end{gathered}$$

Then we have that the slope $\mu(\mathcal{A}^*) = 1$.

Remark 3.1.1 *If we twist by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(1)$ the exact sequence 7 that defines $\mathcal{A}^*$ we get*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega} \otimes H^0(C,\omega) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_\omega}(1) \otimes H^0(C,\omega^3)_+ \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^*(1) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{18}$$

According to [DK93] a bundle on $\mathbb{P}^n$ that has a linear resolution like $\mathcal{A}^*(1)$ a Steiner bundle.

Definition 3.1.2 *Let K be a complex projective manifold with $Pic(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and E a vector bundle of rank r on K. Then the bundle E on K is called normalized if $c_1(E) \in \{-r+1,\dots,-1,0\}$, i.e. if $-1 < \mu(E) \leq 0$. We denote by E_{norm} the unique twist of E that is normalized.*

The following criterion for the stability of vector bundles on K is a consequence of the definition.

Proposition 3.1.3 ***(Hoppe)****[HL97] Let V be a vector bundle on a projective manifold K with $Pic(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. If $H^0(X, \wedge^q V_{norm}) = 0$ for any $1 \leq q \leq rkV - 1$, then V is stable.*

Theorem 3.1.4 *The vector bundle $\mathcal{A}$ on $\mathbb{P}^2_\omega$ is stable.*

*Proof:*We remark that $Pic(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and that $c_1(\mathcal{A}^*(-1)) = 0$, this means that $\mathcal{A}^*_{norm} = \mathcal{A}^*(-1)$. By taking the cohomology of the exact sequence 18 we remark that $H^0(\mathcal{A}^*(-1)) = 0$. By proposition 3.1.3 then $\mathcal{A}^*$ is stable and this in turn implies that $\mathcal{A}$ is stable. □

3.2 Deformations of $\mathcal{A}$

Before computing directly the dimension of the space of deformations of $\mathcal{A}$, i.e. $dim(Ext^1(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}))$, we need some technical lemmas.

Lemma 3.2.1 *We have*

$$h^1(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^*) = 0,$$
$$h^2(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^*) = 0.$$

Proof: We take the cohomology of the exact sequence 7 and we get

$$0 \to H^1(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^*) \to H^2(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{O}(-1) \to H^2(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{O}) \to H^2(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^*) \to 0.$$

By duality we have $H^2(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{O}(-1) \cong H^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{O}(-2))$ and $H^2(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{O}) \cong H^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{O}(-3))$ and both spaces are zero dimensional. This implies our statement. □

Furthermore, we recall that $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^*) \cong H^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \omega^3)_+$.

Lemma 3.2.2 *We have*

$$h^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^*(1)) = 10,$$
$$h^1(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^*(1)) = 0,$$
$$h^2(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^*(1)) = 0.$$

Proof: We take the cohomology of the exact sequence 18 and we get

$$0 \to H^0(C,\omega) \to H^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{O}(1)) \otimes H^0(C,\omega^3)_+ \to H^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^*(1)) \to H^1(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{O}) \to$$

$$\to H^1(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{O}(1)) \to H^1(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^*(1)) \to H^2(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{O}) \to H^2(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{O}(1)) \to H^2(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^*(1)) \to 0.$$

Since $h^1(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{O}) = 0$, we have $h^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^*(1)) = 10$. Moreover, by duality we have also

$$h^1(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{O}(1)) = h^2(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{O}) = h^2(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{O}(1)) = 0.$$

Hence $h^1(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^*(1)) = h^2(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^*(1)) = 0$.□

We are ready to state the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.2.3 *The space of deformations of $\mathcal{A}$ has dimension equal to 5.*

Proof: We twist by $\mathcal{A}^*$ the dual of the exact sequence 7 and we obtain the following.

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^* \otimes \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^* \otimes H^0(C, \omega^3)^*_+ \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^*(1) \otimes H^0(C, \omega)^* \longrightarrow 0. \tag{19}$$

By taking cohomology we get the following long exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^* \otimes \mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^*) \otimes H^0(C, \omega^3)^*_+ \longrightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^*(1)) \otimes H^0(C, \omega)^* \to$$

$$\to H^1(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^* \otimes \mathcal{A}) \to H^1(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^*) \otimes H^0(C, \omega^3)^*_+ \to H^1(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^*(1)) \otimes H^0(C, \omega)^* \to$$

$$\to H^2(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^* \otimes \mathcal{A}) \to\to H^2(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^*) \otimes H^0(C, \omega^3)^*_+ \to H^2(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^*(1)) \otimes H^0(C, \omega)^* \to 0.$$

Lemma 3.2.2 and Lemma 3.2.1 imply that $h^2(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^* \otimes \mathcal{A}) = 0$. Moreover we have that $dim H^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^*) \otimes H^0(C, \omega^3)^*_+ = 16$ and $dim H^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^*(1)) \otimes H^0(C, \omega)^* = 20$. This in turn implies that

$$h^1(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^* \otimes \mathcal{A}) - h^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, A^* \otimes \mathcal{A}) = 20 - 16 = 4.$$

Now $H^1(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^* \otimes \mathcal{A}) \cong Ext^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ and, since $\mathcal{A}$ is stable

$$dim Hom(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) = h^0(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^* \otimes \mathcal{A}) = 1.$$

This means that $h^1(\mathbb{P}^2_\omega, \mathcal{A}^* \otimes \mathcal{A}) = 5.\square$

References

[Bea77] Arnaud Beauville, *Variétés de Prym et jacobiennes intermédiaires*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **10** (1977), no. 3, 309–391.

[Bea88] ______, *Fibrés de rang 2 sur une courbe, fibré déterminant et fonctions thêta*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **116** (1988), no. 4, 431–448.

[Ber92] A. Bertram, *Moduli of rank-2 vector bundles, theta divisors, and the geometry of curves in projective space*, J. Differential Geom. **35** (1992), no. 2, 429–469.

[Bol07] M. Bolognesi, *On weddle surfaces and their moduli*, Adv. in Geom. **7** (2007), no. 1, 1–99.

[DK93] I. Dolgachev and M. Kapranov, *Arrangements of hyperplanes and vector bundles on* $\mathbf{P}^n$, Duke Math. J. **71** (1993), no. 3, 633–664.

[DN89] J.-M. Drezet and M. S. Narasimhan, *Groupe de Picard des variétés de modules de fibrés semi-stables sur les courbes algébriques*, Invent. Math. **97** (1989), no. 1, 53–94.

[GH78] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York, 1978, Pure and Applied Mathematics.

[HL97] Daniel Huybrechts and Manfred Lehn, *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*, Aspects of Mathematics, E31, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1997.

[LN83] H. Lange and M. S. Narasimhan, *Maximal subbundles of rank two vector bundles on curves*, Math. Ann. **266** (1983), no. 1, 55–72.

[NR69] M. S. Narasimhan and S. Ramanan, *Vector bundles on curves*, Algebraic Geometry (Internat. Colloq., Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1968), Oxford Univ. Press, London, 1969, pp. 335–346.

Michele Bolognesi
Dipartimento di Matematica Università di Pavia
Via Ferrata 1
27100 Pavia
Italy
E-mail: michele.bolognesi@unipv.it